

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

PHILADELPHIA DISTRICT CORPS OF ENGINEERS WANAMAKER BUILDING, 100 PENN SQUARE EAST PHILADELPHIA. PENNSYLVANIA 19107-3390

July 3, 2018

Regulatory Branch Applications Section II

SUBJECT: CENAP-OP-R 2017-00219 (91) Project Name: Kunkletown Quarry MN Latitude and Longitude: 40.859842° N, -75.380617° W

Attn: Mr. John Yarborough Lehigh Cement Company, LLC 7660 Imperial Way Allentown, PA 18195

Dear Mr. Yarborough:

This letter is in regard to your request for a verification of a delineation of waters and wetlands performed on your behalf by Environmental Consultation Services, Inc. The project area is approximately 1.15 miles southeast of the intersection of Weir Lake Road and Kunkletown Road, Ross Township, Monroe County, Pennsylvania.

The plans identified on the following page depict the extent of Federal jurisdiction on the subject property. The basis of our determination of jurisdiction is also provided (Enclosure 1).

Pursuant to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, a Department of the Army permit is required for work or structures in navigable waters of the United States and the discharge of dredged or fill material into waters of the United States including adjacent and isolated wetlands. Any proposal to perform the above activities within the area of Federal jurisdiction requires the prior approval of this office.

This delineation/determination has been conducted to identify the limits of the Corps Clean Water Act jurisdiction for the particular site identified in this request. This delineation/determination may not be valid for the wetland conservation provisions of the Food Security Act of 1985, as amended. If you or your tenant are U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) program participants, or anticipate participating in USDA programs, you should request a certified wetland determination from the local office of the Natural Resources Conservation Service prior to starting work.

This letter is valid for a period of five (5) years. This jurisdictional determination is issued in accordance with current Federal regulations and is based upon the existing site conditions and information provided by you in your application. This office reserves the right to reevaluate and modify the jurisdictional determination at any time should the existing site conditions or Federal

regulations change, or should the information provided by you prove to be false, incomplete or inaccurate.

This letter contains an approved jurisdictional determination for your subject site. If you object to this determination, you may request an administrative appeal under Corps regulations at 33 CFR 331. Enclosed you will find a combined Notification of Appeal Process (NAP) fact sheet and Request for Appeal (RFA) form (Enclosure 2). If you request to appeal this determination, you must submit a completed RFA form to the North Atlantic Division Office at the following address:

Mr. James W. Haggerty Regulatory Program Manager (CENAD-PD-OR) U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Fort Hamilton Military Community 301 General Lee Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11252-6700 Telephone number: 347-370-4650

In order for an RFA to be accepted by the Corps, the Corps must determine that it is complete, that it meets the criteria for appeal under 33 CFR Part 331.5, and that it has been received by the Division Office within 60 days of the date of the NAP. Should you decide to submit an RFA form, it must be received at the above address by <u>September 3, 2018</u>.

It is not necessary to submit an RFA form to the Division Office if you do not object to the determination in this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. Nathan Fronk at 267-284-6564 or write to the Pocono Area Field Office, 253 State Route 435, Suite 4, Clifton Township, Pennsylvania, 18424.

Sincerely,

Glenn R. Weitknecht Senior Project Manager

SUBJECT PROPERTY: Approved jurisdictional determination is for the "study area" defined in Wetlands & Other Waters, Sheets 1 through 7.

SURVEY DESCRIPTION: "Wetlands & Other Waters, Kunkletown Quarry, Ross Township, Monroe County, PA", Sheets 1 through 7 of 7, Scale: 1" = 1,000', drawn by DJB on 9/14/2017, Sheet 2 of 7 revised on 4/23/2018, prepared by Environmental Consultation Services Inc. Enclosures

Copies Furnished:

PADEP (NERO) Monroe County Conservation District Ross Township EarthRes Group, Inc. Environmental Consultation Services, Inc.

Enclosure 1

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook. SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 3, 2018 A.

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CENAP-OP-R 2017-00219 Kunkletown Quarry MN

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The project site is located approximately 1.15 miles southeast of the intersection of Weir Lake Road and Kunkletown Road, Ross Township, Monroe County, Pennsylvania.

State: Pennsylvania County: Monroe City: Ross Township

Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.8598° N, Long. -75.3773° W Universal Transverse Mercator: Northing Easting

Name of nearest waterbody: Buckwha Creek

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Aquatic resources are isolated Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 02040106



Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.



REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 12 Dec 2017

Field Determination. Date(s): 18 Aug 2017

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. A.

There are no"navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]



Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. B.

There are no waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):¹ TNWs, including territorial seas

- Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
- Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
- Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Non-wetland waters: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands:

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

 \boxtimes Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: The property contains 27 wetlands and 2 ponds. An erosional feature was identified in the preliminary jurisdictional determination, however, the feature is non-jurisdictional since it lacks bed and bank and an ordinary high water mark. Most of the wetlands on the property are small and are the result of previous timber harvesting on the property. Of the 27 wetlands on the property, only 6 area greater than 0.10 acre. All wetlands were determined to be non-jurisdictional based on a review of aerial photography and a site inspection. During the site inspection it was confirmed that the wetlands lacked any surface hydrological connections to waters of the United States, and as such, are not part of a surface tributary system. Additionally, the wetlands have no known nexus to interstate and/or foreign commerce and the destruction and/or degradation of these wetlands will not impact waters of the United States. The two ponds on the property are part of an active NPDES permit (Permit No. PA0595241) and are therefore not waters of the United States (33 CFR 328.3 (b) (6)).

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

 2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW: Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size:	square miles	
Drainage area:	square miles	
Average annual rair	nfall:	inches
Average annual sno	wfall:	inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:
 Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through tributary before entering TNW.

Project waters are _____ river miles from TNW. Project waters are ___ river miles from RPW.

Project waters are Project waters are Project waters cro	aerial (strai	ght) miles from	RPW.			
Identify flow rout Tributary stream		:				
West.	described by iden	ntifying, e.g., tribu	tary a, which flows th	-		ashes, and erosional features generally and in the arid o flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
Tributary is:	Art Art	tural tificial (man-ma nipulated (man-	de). Explain: -altered). Explain:			
Tributary properti Average Average Average	e width:	-	estimate):			
Primary tributary	substrate compo Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Expla		ll that apply): Sands Gravel Vegetation.	□ □ Type	Concrete Muck	% cover:
Tributary condition Presence of run/ri Tributary geometry Tributary gradien	ffle/pool compl	exes. Explain:	g, sloughing banks].	Explain:		
(c) Flow: Tributary provide Estimate average Describe flow reg Other information	number of flow ime:		w area/year:			
Surface flow is: _	Ch	naracteristics:				
Subsurface flow:		xplain findings: r) test performed	1:			
Tributary has (che	l banks OHWM ⁶ (che Clea Cha Cha Cha Cha Cha Cha Cha Cha Cha Ch	eck all indicator ar, natural line i anges in the char dving getation matted	mpressed on the ba racter of soil down, bent, or abse l or washed away n			the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
	Line indicated oil or scum li fine shell or o		objects (foreshore)	nt of CWA	jurisdictio	on (check all that apply): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).Explain:Identify specific pollutants, if known:

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):

- Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Wetland fringe. Characteristics:
- Habitat for:

 \Box

(i)

- Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
- Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
- Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

•	cal Characteristics:
(a)	General Wetland Characteristics:
	Properties:
	Wetland size:
	Wetland type. Explain:
	Wetland quality. Explain:
	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
	Flow is: Explain:
	Surface flow is: Not Present
	Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Explain findings:
	Dye (or other) test performed:
(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
	Directly abutting
	Not directly abutting
	Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
	Ecological connection. Explain:
	Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
	Project wetlands are river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Flow is from:
	Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the floodplain.

(ii) **Chemical Characteristics:**

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

(iii) **Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):** Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Emergent vegetation - 100% cover 同 Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Likely home to small mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians and insects.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Approximately () acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

• Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?

• Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?

• Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?

• Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:

2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs	and Adjacent	Wetlands. Check a	ll that apply and provide si	ze estimates in review are	ea:
		TNWs:	linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.	
		Wetlands ac	liacent to TNWs:			

2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**

- Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
- Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): width (ft).

- Tributary waters: linear feet acres.
- Other non-wetland waters: Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 4.

- Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
 - Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.100 acres.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.13 acres.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.9

As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.

Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or

Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or

Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, E. DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):10

- which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
- from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
- which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:

Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

⁸ See Footnote # 3.

Ē

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).
- Π Other non-wetland waters: acres.

Identify type(s) of waters:

Wetlands:

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. \boxtimes
 - Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
 - Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely \boxtimes on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional iudgment (check all that apply):

width (ft).

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams):	width
Lakes/ponds:	
Other non-wetland waters: acres List type of aquat	ic resource:
Wetlands: 2.697 acres	

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers	, streams):	linear feet,	width (ft)
Lakes/ponds: acres			
Other non-wetland waters:	acres.	List type of aquatic re	esource:
Wetlands:			

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below): \boxtimes

- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
 - Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. \square
 - Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
 - Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
- Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
- Corps navigable waters' study:

U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:

- USGS NHD data.
- USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
- U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
- National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
- State/Local wetland inventory map(s):

FEMA/FIRM maps:

- 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
- Photographs: \boxtimes Aerial (Name & Date): Penn Pilot Aerial Imagery from 29 Mar 1939 and 9 May 1959
- Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
- Applicable/supporting case law:
- Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
- Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

The approximately 280 acre project area is a proposed mining development. The project site is located approximately 1.15 miles southeast of the intersection of Weir Lake Road and Kunkletown Road, Ross Township, Monroe County, Pennsylvania. A total of 27 wetland and 2 ponds were identified on the property. The two ponds on the property are part of an active NPDES permit (Permit No. PA0595241) and are therefore not waters of the United States (33 CFR 328.3 (b) (6)). An erosional feature was identified on the preliminary jurisdictional determination, however, the feature was found to not be a water of the U.S. due to the lack of an ordinary high water mark. Most of the wetlands on the property are small and related to a previous timber harvest on the property. Ruts from skidders and other machinery caused depressions along the logging roads, which over time formed isolated wetlands.

The wetlands within the project scope have no observed surface hydrologic connections flowing in or out of the waters and are not part of a surface tributary system to a Waters of the United States, with the exception of wetland 11. Wetland 11's hydrology is driven by Open Water Pond 1, to the east of the wetland. Once the water in Pond 1 reaches a certain level, the water is discharged downslope and into wetland 11. However, no surface hydrological connection was found flowing out of the wetland and the wetland is not part of a surface tributary system to a Waters of the United States. There is no information, found or submitted, that would indicate that the wetlands have a known nexus to foreign and/or interstate commerce. As such, these waters are SWANCC isolated and not subject to Corps jurisdiction under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Enclosure 2

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

KEQUEST FOR ATTEAL			
Applicant: Lehigh Cement Company, LLC File Number: CENAP 2017 - 00	D219 Date: 3 Jul 2018		
Attached is:	See Section below		
INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)	A		
PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter of permission)	В		
PERMIT DENIAL	С		
X APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	D		
PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	E		
 SECTION I - The following identifies your rights and options regarding an administ decision. Additional information may be found at http://www.usace.army.mil/Missions/CivilWorks/RegulatoryProgramandPermits/apregulations at 33 CFR Part 331. A: INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or object to the permit. ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in a to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determination 	opeals.aspx or Corps of the district engineer for final work is authorized. Your ts entirety, and waive all rights		
• OBJECT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions the permit be modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this form and return the for Your objections must be received by the district engineer within 60 days of the date of this notic to appeal the permit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the district engineer will evaluate modify the permit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to address some of you the permit having determined that the permit should be issued as previously written. After evalu district engineer will send you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated in Section	rm to the district engineer. e, or you will forfeit your right your objections and may: (a) r objections, or (c) not modify lating your objections, the		
B: PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the permit			
• ACCEPT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit document and return it to the district engineer for final authorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you may accept the LOP and your work is authorized. Your signature on the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you accept the permit in its entirety, and waive all rights to appeal the permit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdictional determinations associated with the permit.			
• APPEAL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or LOP) because of certain terms and conditions therein, you may appeal the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrative Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must be received by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.			
C: PERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit under the Corps of Engineers A by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engineer. This form must engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.			
D: APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You may accept or approvide new information.	eal the approved JD or		
• ACCEPT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved JD. Failure to notify the of this notice, means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waive all rights to appe			
• APPEAL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the approved JD under the Co Appeal Process by completing Section II of this form and sending the form to the division engine by the division engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.	1 0		
E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, y			

regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to				
clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Co				
you may provide additional information to clarify the location of i				
POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR INFOR	RMATION:			
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the appeal	If you only have questions regard	ding the appeal process you may		
process you may contact:	also contact: Mr. James W. Haggerty			
Glenn Weitknecht				
(267) 284-6563, or	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers			
Glenn.R.Weitknecht@usace.army.mil	Fort Hamilton Military Community			
	301 General Lee Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11252-6700			
	Telephone number: 347-370-4650			
RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government				
consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day				
notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to pa	articipate in all site investigations.			
	Date:	Telephone number:		
Signature of appellant or agent.	Date:	Telephone number:		