# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	(JD	): Nove	ember 1	, 2021	l
----	--	-----	---------	---------	--------	---

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: CENAP-OPR 2020-00537 RiverPointe Logistics Center NO
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: Pennsylvania County/parish/borough: Northampton City: Upper Mount Bethel Township Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.63732° N, Long75.33955° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: 18  Name of nearest waterbody: Delaware River  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Delaware River  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 0204010506  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: Novermber 24, 2021 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): July 15-16, 2020 and August 18, 2020
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
revi	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:  CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re are and are not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters  Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<ul> <li>b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.</li> <li>c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List</li> </ul>
	Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.  Explain: Wetlands 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 38, 39 and 41-44 are all SWANCC-isolated wetlands Waters 2 and a Pond were also found to be SWANCC-isolated based on the distance and topography separating the features from the nearest RPW.

Wetland 14-17, Wetland 40, Waters 4, Waters 5 and Waters 14 were all found to not have a significant nexus to a downstream TNW due to a lack of evidence that these features would affect the biological, chemical or physical

integrity of a downstream TNW.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

#### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	

#### Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under Rapanos have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

# (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 1.52 square miles Drainage area: 0.09 square miles Average annual rainfall: 47 inches Average annual snowfall: 33 inches

### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW: ☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No. Identify flow route to TNW5: UNT of UNT to Delaware River to UNT of of Delaware River to Delaware River. Tributary stream order, if known:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (	check all that apply):	
	Tributary is: Natural	** */-	
	Artificial (n	nan-made). Explain: .	
	☐ Manipulate	d (man-altered). Explain:	
	Tributary properties with respect and Average width: 4 feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: 3:1.	to top of bank (estimate):	
	Primary tributary substrate compos	sition (abaals all that apply)	
	Silts         S           Cobbles         G		☐ Concrete ☐ Muck
heavily foresto		highly eroding, sloughing banks].	Explain: Relatively stable, surrounded area is
	Presence of run/riffle/pool complex Tributary geometry: <b>Meandering</b> Tributary gradient (approximate av		
(c) dry season.		vents in review area/year: 20 (or ittent flow driven by upslope runo	
	Surface flow is: Discrete and conf	ined. Characteristics: .	
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain Dye (or other) test perform		
	Tributary has (check all that apply)  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indica)  clear, natural line imp  changes in the charact  shelving  vegetation matted dow  leaf litter disturbed or  sediment deposition  water staining  other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. <sup>7</sup>	tors that apply): ressed on the bank er of soil destruction the preserve, bent, or absent washed away scour multiple abrupt cl	ence of litter and debris on of terrestrial vegetation ence of wrack line t sorting observed or predicted flow events hange in plant community
	If factors other than the OHWM w  High Tide Line indicated oil or scum line along fine shell or debris dep physical markings/cha tidal gauges other (list):	by:	at of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Vater Mark indicated by: available datum; markings; a lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color Explain: Water color is clear. The g attify specific pollutants, if known: N	general watershed is heavily fores	ter quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). ted.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv)		ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):  Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Mature forest stretches for at least 50-100 yards in most cases.  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic macroinvertebrates identified within watercourses.
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	(i)		General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 0.04 0.05, 0.18, & 1.32 acres Wetland type. Explain: PFO Wetlands. Wetland quality. Explain:Wetlands are of moderate quality and surrounded by extensive forestland. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
		a di	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetland 6 and 7 likely contribute at least intermittent flow to the downstream non-screte and confined connection (culvert). Wetland 14-17 may provide some flow to the downstream non-TNW in high It's unlikely Wetland 40 provides any flow to a Non-TNW.
conn	ectio	on.	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete</b> Characteristics: Wetlands 6 and 7 connected via culvert. Wetlands 14-17 and 40 do not have a direct surface
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
wetla	ands		Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Wetland 6 and 7 are separated from Wetland 5 by Marshfield Drive. Both connected to Wetland 5 via culverts. It's likely that the waters were connected prior to the construction of Marshfield Drive.
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 50 - 100-year floodplain.
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: Wetlands are of moderate quality with clear water. The watershed is heavily forested. https://example.com/restate/publications/specific pollutants, if known:
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):Wetlands have a buffer to 50-100 feet on average.  Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:100 % forested.  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:  Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:  Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:  Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
3.	Cha	All	wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 4 proximately (1.5) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)		Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)		Size (in acres)	
Wetland 14 -17	N	1.27	Wetland 40	N	0.05	
Wetland 6	N	0.04	Wetland 7	N	0.18	

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetlands 6 and 7 play a valuable role in water storage, pollutant filtering, and the removal of sediments from stormwater. These wetlands and similarly situated wetlands, play a substantial role in improving water quality for the downstream TNW. Wetland 14-17 is a depressional wetland located approximately 100 feet from the nearest jurisdictional wetland. Most of the wetland is separated from wetlands and waters by several hundred feet of uplands. Wetland 14-17 and similarly situated wetlands may provide some pollutant filtering functions, however, no clear evidence was observed. The wetland occurs in a relatively small watershed and the presence of similarly situated wetlands is unlikely as the study area encompasses the vast majority of the watershed. Wetland 40 is a depressional wetland located within close proximity to jurisdictional wetlands however its small size and topographic location make it unlikely that it, along with similarly situated wetlands, would have any impact on the biological, chemical or physical integrity of a downstream TNW.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:Waters 4, 5, and 14 are all ephemeral waters. Waters 4 originates at Marshfield Drive and is driven by stormwater runoff from Marshfield Drive and the ditches created along it. There was no evidence that the stream provides more than ephemeral flow into the downstream non-jurisdictional wetland, Wetland 14-17. Waters 5 is similarly situated to Waters 4 and also originates at Marshfield Drive and is driven by stormwater runoff coming off the road. Waters 14 is an ephemeral feature that flows into Wetland 31. No flow was observed in Waters 14 during the site visit and no evidence of perennial or intermittent flow was observed. While bed and bank was observed, it was often disappeared and continued as several rills before returning to a more defined ephemeral stream. All 3 ephemeral streams,, in combination with similarly situated wetlands,, do not have more than an insubstantial effect on the biolgical, chemical and/or physical integrity of a downstream TNW. These features do convey pollutants into wetlands, however, they do not reduce the amount of pollutants reaching a TNW, provide any habitat for fish or any other wildlife species or help transfer nutrients and organic carbon that supports downstream food webs.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetlands 6, 7, 14-17 and Wetland 40 are all wetlands adjacent to an RPW but do not directly abut the RPW. Wetlands 6 and 7 are physically separated by Wetland 5 by Marshfield Road however both Wetlands 6 and 7 have culverts that directly connect to Wetland 5. Flow between the wetlands and Wetland 5 via culvert was observed during site visits. Wetlands 6 and 7 play a valuable role in water storage, pollutant filtering, and the removal of sediments from stormwater. These wetlands and similarly situated wetlands, play a substantial role in improving water quality for the downstream TNW. Wetland 14-17 is a depressional wetland located approximately 100 feet from the nearest jurisdictional wetland. Most of the wetland is separated from wetlands and waters by several hundred feet of uplands. Wetland 14-17 and similarly situated wetlands may provide some pollutant filtering functions, however, no clear evidence was observed. The wetland occurs in a relatively small watershed and the presence of similarly situated wetlands is unlikely as the study area encompasses the vast majority of the watershed. Wetland 40 is a depressional wetland located within close proximity to jurisdictional wetlands however its small size and topographic location make it unlikely that it, along with similarly situated wetlands, would have any impact on the biological, chemical or physical integrity of a downstream TNW.

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries were observed flowing on site visits by Corps and agent. Site visits occurred during dry season. Flow volumes observed indicated that year-round flow occurs within these tributaries.  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Tributaries were observed flowing and not flowing on different site visits by the Corps and agent. Flow seemed to be driven by release of water from wetlands and groundwater. Flow was supplemented by recent rains. Based on the observed conditions, the intermittent flow can be inferred.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 14844 linear feet varying width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: 0.07 acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: Waters 7 (Pond).
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  □ Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  □ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Tributaries were observed flowing on site visits by Corps and the applicant. Site visits occurred in the dry season and given the flows observed it is predicted that the watercourses are perennial. The

Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands were observed abutting RPW on site visit. The wetlands contribute intermittent flow to the

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 16.95 acres.

abutting wetlands were confirmed to be abutting during the site visit.

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	5.	<ul> <li>Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.</li> <li>Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.18 acres.</li> </ul>
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	DEC SUC 	LATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:  other factors. Explain:
		ride estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Wetland 14-17 and 40 and Waters 4, 5, and 14 did not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard based on a lack of evidence that the aforementioned waters have more than an insubstatial effect of the biological, chemical and/or physical integrity of the downstream TNW.  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	facto judg	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 590 linear feet 10.89 width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: 0.1 acres.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
<sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 13.8 acres.
	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): 986 linear feet, varying width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: 1.32 acres.
SECTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
and Dyn	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: "Jurisdictional Determination Exhibit", prepared by namic Engineering, dated 05/03/2022, last revised 09/30/2022, sheet 1 of 1.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):
	Other information (please specify):

# B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: .