APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION
-------------------	------------	-------------

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION (JD): April 15, 2022
----	----------------------------	-------------------------	---

B.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: NAP-2022-00589-85 Hammondtown Woods Energy Initiative LLC KE
C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Delaware County/parish/borough: Kent City: Harrington Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.8885° N, Long75.5899° E. Universal Transverse Mercator: 448846.174472/4304550.696759 Name of nearest waterbody: Harrington Prong Sub 1A of Prong 7 Tax Ditch Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Marshyhope Creek
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Marshyhope Creek (0208010903) Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: June 1, 2022 Field Determination. Date(s):
SEC A.	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	were Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the lew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere are and are not "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 347 linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.10 acres. Wetlands: 2.47 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ ☑ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetland 2 is geographically isolated and does not have a surface connection to waters of the United States. A drainage ditch is located along the eastern boundary of the review area which appears to have been excavated from uplands and wholly drains uplands.

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

TAIX

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 6.105 acres

Drainage area: 1,067 acres Average annual rainfall: 28 inches Average annual snowfall: 13 inches (ii) Physical Characteristics: (a) Relationship with TNW: Tributary flows directly into TNW. Tributary flows through Pick List tributaries before entering TNW. Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW. Project waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Waterbody A flow directly to the Marshyhope Creek.. Tributary stream order, if known:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	Tributary is: Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:
	Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: Waterbody A has been channelized/ditched.
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 25 feet Average depth: 2 feet Average side slopes: 3:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
result of phrag	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Soils are highly erodable, but stable as agmites.
result of pinag	Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: .
	Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
	Tributary gradient (approximate average slope).
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 20 (or greater) Describe flow regime: Perennial. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: The presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting abrupt change in plant community
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by:
Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: Waters A receives inputs from adjacent farm fields as well as residential housing and road crossings. ntify specific pollutants, if known: Likely pollutants would be sediment, pesticides and petroleum products.

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

(iv) Bi	iological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Mowed tax ditch right-of-way along the banks of Waters A.
	Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
	Habitat for:
	Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
	Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
	☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:
	Aquatic/whitine diversity. Explain initings.
	cteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
	hysical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
	Properties: Wetland size: 2.47 acres
	Wetland type. Explain: PFO/PEM wetlands.
	Wetland quality. Explain: A portion of the wetland exists within an electric transmission utility line. As such a
portion of th	ne wetland is maintained to prevent hardwood growth. However, the wetland is contiguous with a larger tract of forested
wetlands tha	at continues off-site.
	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
(b	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
(0	Flow is: No Flow . Explain: Surface connection is unknown on site.
	Surface flow is: Not present Characteristics: Unknown.
	Characteristics. Chritown.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
	Dye (or other) test performed:
(0) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
(0	Directly abutting
	Not directly abutting Not directly abutting
	Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
	Ecological connection. Explain:
	Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: Wetland 1 is separated from Waterbody A by a right-of-way lane. The right-
	creating the separation may have been created as a result of side-casting the material from the channelized stream (Waters
A). Welland	1 1 is part of a larger contiguous forested wetland complex that continues off-site.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
	Project wetlands are 15-20 river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters.
	Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 500-year or greater floodplain.
(ii) C	hemical Characteristics:
C	haracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
	characteristics; etc.). Explain: Wetland is within a utility right-of-way with clear water.
	entify specific pollutants, if known: Likely subject to pesticide applications and mowing in order to maintain an emergent
vegetation o	community.
(iii) B	iological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
`´[Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
	Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .
\triangleright	
	Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
	☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Wetland 1 on site is an emergent vegetation community that is
contiguous with	a larger forested wetalnd habitat, both edge habitat and inner forest habitat.
-	

Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 2 3.

Approximately (2.47) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
Wetland 1 (N)	2.47		
Offsite wetland (UK)	8		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Offsite wetland is contiguous with Wetland 1. Acreage estimate is based off of remote sensing information. Typically, wetlands adjacent to tax ditches are connected to the tax ditch via a controlled inlet pipe. Based off of aerial imagery there appears to be relic channels within the wetlands located offsite that my have a controlled inlet pipe to the Waters A. As such, the wetland would contribute directly to the biological, chemical and physical functions of the Waters of the United States.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: Wetland 1 has two separate portions on-site, but is part of a larger contiguous forested wetland complex that continues off site. While Wetland 1 is not directly abutting Waters A, it is approximately 100 linear feet from Waters A and likely closer to Waters A off-site and, is therefore, neighboring, meeting the definition of adjacency as defined in 33 CFR 328.3. Furthermore, Waters A is a channelized perennial stream, in which the dredged material from the stream was side-casted, likely creating a man-made barrier between Wetland 1 and Waters A. In accordance with 33 CFR 328.3(c), wetlands separated from other waters of the United States by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like are adjacent wetlands. As such, Wetland A meets the definition of an (a)(7) waters.

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALI
	THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlan	ds. Check all that appl	ly and provide size estimates in review area:
	☐ TNWs: linear feet	width (ft), Or,	acres.
	■ Wetlands adjacent to TNW	s: acres.	

2.	 RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☑ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Waterbody A is a perennial tributary that flows into Marshyhope Creek approximatley 4 river-miles downstream. ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 347 linear feet 25 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	 Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly
	abutting an RPW: Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☑ Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 2.47 acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).

 $^{^8 \}rm See$ Footnote # 3. $^9 \, \rm To$ complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

rps of Engineers
rps of Engineers
rps of Engineers
based solely on the in:Wetland 2 is meet the definition viscal characteristics we area and appears a tributary and 3.
tion is the MBR ing best professional standard, where such
and, where checked ammondtown Woods
t iii

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA *Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos*.

	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Harrington, DE Quadrangle, 7.5 Minute Series.
\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: GSSURGO for Delaware.
\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: NWI, dated May 3, 2021.
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
\boxtimes	FEMA/FIRM maps: 10001C0340J, revised June 20, 2018.
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: 🛮 Aerial (Name & Date): 2017 aerial imagery.
	or 🔀 Other (Name & Date): Site photographs from February-April 2022 .
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	Other information (please specify):

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Waterbody A is a perennial channelized stream that flows directly to the Marshyhope Creek which becomes a traditionally navigable waterway in Maryland. As such Waterbody A meets the definition of an (a)(5) Tributary as defined in 33 CFR 328. Wetland 1 has two separate portions on-site, but is part of a larger contiguous forested wetland complex that continues off site. While Wetland 1 is not directly abutting Waters A, it is approximately 100 linear feet from Waters A, separated by a right-of-way lane, and likely closer to Waters A off-site. As such, Wetland 1 is neighboring, meeting the definition of adjacency as defined in 33 CFR 328.3. Wetland 2 appears to be geographically isolated and lacks surface connection to waters of the United States. Wetland 2 does not meet the definition of adjacency as defined in 33 CFR 328. A drainage ditch is located along the eastern boundary of the review area and appears to be a non-tidal drainage ditch excavated wholly on dry land. The drainage ditch does not meet the definition of a tributary and therefore, meets the definition of (a)(8) Excluded and Non-jurisdictional Waters in accordance with 33 CFR 328.3.